$D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ in the decay of B_c into $J/\psi DK$

Zhi-Feng Sun,[1] M. Bayar,[2] P. Fernandez-Soler,[1] and E. Oset[1]

[1]*Departamento de Física Teórica and IFIC, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia-CSIC Institutos de Investigación de Paterna, Aptdo. 22085, 46071 Valencia, Spain*

[2]*Department of Physics, Kocaeli University, 41380 Izmit, Turkey*

(Dated: April 26, 2016)

In this paper we study the relationship between the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ resonance and the decay of the B_c meson into $J/\psi DK$. In this process, the B_c meson decays first into J/ψ and the quark pair $c\bar{s}$, and then the quark pair hadronizes into DK or $D_s\eta$ components, which undergo final state interaction. This final state interaction, generating the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ resonance, is described by the chiral unitary approach. With the parameters which allow us to match the pole position of the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$, we obtain the DK invariant mass distribution of the decay $B_c \to J/\psi DK$, and also the rate for $B_c \to J/\psi D^*_{s0}(2317)$. The ratio of these two magnitude is then predicted.

PACS numbers: 13.20.Jf, 13.30.Eg, 13.60.Le, 13.75.Lb

arXiv:1510.06316v2 [hep-ph] 23 Apr 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1998, the discovery of the B_c was reported by the CDF collaboration in the $B_c \to J/\psi l^\pm \bar{\nu}_l$ process at Fermilab [1]. About 10 years later, the CDF collaboration confirmed the existence of B_c via the decay mode $B_c^\pm \to J/\psi\pi^\pm$ at CDF II with a significance of more than 8σ [2]. Additionally, the D0 collaboration observed the B_c states in the $B_c^\pm \to J/\psi\pi^\pm$ process with a significance of more than 5σ [3]. In the last two years, the B_c state has also been observed by the LHCb collaboration with the decay modes $B_c^\pm \to J/\psi\pi^\pm$ and $B_c^\pm \to J/\psi D_s^\pm$ at the LHC center-of-mass energy 7 TeV of proton-proton collisions [4, 5]. The average value for the mass of the B_c state listed in the Particle Data Group (PDG) is $M_{B_c} = 6.2756 \pm 0.0011$ GeV [6].

Another state related to our work is the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ resonance, of which we will give a brief review next.

The scalar resonance $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ was first observed by BABAR Collaboration as a narrow peak in the inclusive $e^+e^- \to D_s^+\pi^0 X$ annihilation process [7, 8]. Later, this observation was confirmed by CLEO, BELLE and FOCUS Collaborations [9–11]. The average mass of $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ listed in the PDG is 2318.0 ± 1.0 MeV [6].

Before the BABAR experiment, the potential model [12–20] and lattice QCD [21–23] studied the P-wave charmed strange meson and predicted a meson mass larger than the experimental value, and a width of the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+ \to DK$ decay very large. After the BABAR experiment, many theoretical groups performed research on the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ state. Since the mass of the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ is close to the threshold of the DK system, being the difference of about 50 MeV, the molecular state interpretation was proposed [24–33]. In Refs. [34–40], the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ state was studied in the frame of KD mixing with $c\bar{s}$ state, four-quark state, and the mixture of two-meson and four-quark state. In Refs. [41, 42], introducing KD meson operators and using the effective range formula, the authors obtained a bound state about 40 MeV below the KD threshold, which was reanalysed in Ref. [43]. In Ref. [44], lattice QCD results for the DK scattering length were extrapolated to physical pion masses by means of unitarized chiral perturbation theory, and by means of the Weinberg compositeness condition [45, 46] the amount of KD content in the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ was determined, resulting in a sizable fraction of the order of 70% within errors. [1]

In the present work, we shall give the DK invariant mass distribution in the decay $B_c \to J/\psi DK$, from which information on the internal structure of the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ state will be obtained. Besides the weak decay of the B_c meson and hadronization of the quark-antiquark pair to two mesons, the final state interaction is involved. In order to describe the final state interaction, by which the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ state is generated, we use the chiral unitary approach which makes use of the on-shell version of the factorized Bethe-Salpeter equation which has successfully explained the existence of some resonances (see [47–58]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we present the formalism to study the decay of $B_c \to J/\psi DK$ and $B_c \to J/\psi D^*_{s0}(2317)$. The numerical results of the DK invariant mass distribution are given in Section III. Finally, we present a brief conclusion.

II. FORMALISM

In this paper, we will discuss the decay mechanism of the B_c meson into $J/\psi DK$ and also into $J/\psi D^*_{s0}(2317)$. In Refs. [59–63], the weak decay mechanisms of the B and B_s mesons were studied. We can take many elements from those works, but there are also some important differences. The works of [59, 60] relied upon the topological diagrams of Fig. 1, which we have adapted to the present problem. Essentially a d quark from the B^0 meson is replaced now by a c quark in the B_c^+ case here. This diagram is addressed as internal emission in the nomenclature of Ref. [64, 65]. However we can also have a mechanism of external emission as depicted in Fig. 2.

[1] However, as discussed in detail in [43], the Weinberg compositeness condition was used in an extreme case in [44], thus weakening the conclusions about this fraction. A more accurate determination of that fraction, of the order of 70% is done in [43].



FIG. 1: Diagram for the decay of B_c^+ into J/ψ and the quark pair $c\bar{s}$ with internal emission.



FIG. 2: Diagram for the decay of B_c^+ into J/ψ and the quark pair $c\bar{s}$ with external emission.

The diagram of Fig. 2 is colored favoured and dominates the transition, but in both cases we have a primary $J/\psi c\bar{s}$ production assuming a $c\bar{c}$ pair combining into J/ψ. This is all that we need in the present case, since the matrix element for this transition will be factorized and assumed to be constant in the small range of the KD invariant mass that we need in our problem. The smoothness of the weak plus hadronization form factors is supported by calculations [66] and phenomenology (see a detailed discussion in [67]). The next step consists of the hadronization of the $c\bar{s}$ pair into two mesons. This is depicted in Fig. 3 and is implemented introducing an extra $\bar{q}q$ pair with the quantum numbers of the vacuum, $\bar{u}u+\bar{d}d+\bar{s}s$. In a third step, the two mesons produced in the second process may interact with themselves in coupled channels, which is shown in Fig. 4.

It should be noted that apart from the necessary bc transition in the weak process, the other weak vertex is V_{cs} in both mechanisms. As mentioned before, we will include the matrix elements for the weak plus hadronization processes into a constant factor that we call V_p. The $c\bar{s}$ then recombine with the $q\bar{q}$ created from the vacuum producing two mesons. In



FIG. 3: The hadronization of $c\bar{s} \to c\bar{s}(u\bar{u}+d\bar{d}+s\bar{s})$.

order to calculate it, we first consider the $q\bar{q}$ matrix M

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} u\bar{u} & u\bar{d} & u\bar{s} & u\bar{c} \\ d\bar{u} & d\bar{d} & d\bar{s} & d\bar{c} \\ s\bar{u} & s\bar{d} & s\bar{s} & s\bar{c} \\ c\bar{u} & c\bar{d} & c\bar{s} & c\bar{c} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \\ c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} & \bar{d} & \bar{s} & \bar{c} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

which has the property,

$$\begin{aligned} M \cdot M &= \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \\ c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} & \bar{d} & \bar{s} & \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \\ c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} & \bar{d} & \bar{s} & \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \\ c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} & \bar{d} & \bar{s} & \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s + \bar{c}c) \\ &= M \times (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s + \bar{c}c). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

On the hadron level, the M matrix corresponds to the ϕ matrix, which has the form,

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ & K^+ & \bar{D}^0 \\ \pi^- & \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{6}} & K^0 & D^- \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}\eta'}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}} & D_s^- \\ D^0 & D^+ & D_s^+ & \eta_c \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where the standard $\eta - \eta'$ mixing is used [68].

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s + \bar{c}c)(c\bar{s}) \to (\phi\phi)_{43} &= \eta_c D_s^+ + D^0 K^+ + D^+ K^0 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \eta D_s^+ + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} D_s^+ \eta'. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In this paper, we neglect the contribution of η' and η_c because of their large mass compared with the K and η masses.

A. Rescattering

As it is shown in Fig. 4 (b) and (c), the two mesons produced from the $(c\bar{s})$ (see Fig. 3) may interact with themselves and the coupled channels. The amplitude of the $B_c^+ \to J/\psi D^+ K^0$ decay is

$$t(B_c^+ \to J/\psi D^+ K^0) = V_p \left(h_1 + \sum_i h_i G_i t_{i1} \right). \tag{5}$$

Here $i = 1, 2, 3$ which label the channels D^+K^0, D^0K^+ and $D_s^+\eta$ respectively. In Eq. (5), $h_1 = h_2 = 1$ and $h_3 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. G_i is the loop function of two meson propagators

$$G_i = i \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(P-q)^2 - m_i^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{q^2 - M_i^2 + i\epsilon}, \tag{6}$$

where P is the total four momentum of the system, and m_i and M_i are the masses of the mesons in the i-channel.



FIG. 4: The diagrams of the decay $B_c^+ \to J/\psi D^+ K^0$ at hadronic level.

The loop function is calculated using dimensional regularization, and the function is renormalized by means of a subtraction constant $\alpha(\mu)$. The expression of the calculated loop function is

$$\begin{aligned} G_i &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\left(\alpha(\mu) + \log\frac{m_i^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{M_i^2 - m_i^2 + s}{2s}\log\frac{M_i^2}{m_i^2}\right. \\ &+ \frac{p}{\sqrt{s}}\left(\log\frac{s - M_i^2 + m_i^2 + 2p\sqrt{s}}{-s + M_i^2 - m_i^2 + 2p\sqrt{s}}\right. \\ &+ \left.\left.\log\frac{s + M_i^2 - m_i^2 + 2p\sqrt{s}}{-s - M_i^2 + m_i^2 + 2p\sqrt{s}}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here s is the invariant mass squared of the particles appearing in the loop, and p is the corresponding three momentum in the center-of-mass frame. In Eq. (5) t_{ij} is the scattering matrix element for the transition channel $i \to j$. According to the on-shell version of the factorized Bethe-Salpeter equation [49, 69], t_{ij} is,

$$t = [1 - VG]^{-1}V, \quad (8)$$

where V is the potential which we take from [28]. V is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} V_{11} &= -\frac{1}{6f^2}\left[\frac{3}{2}s - (\gamma + \frac{1}{2})\frac{(m_D^2 - m_K^2)^2}{s}\right], \\ V_{13} &= -\frac{1}{6\sqrt{6}f^2}\left[-\frac{3}{2}(\gamma+3)s + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma+3)(m_K^2 + m_\eta^2 + m_D^2\right. \\ &\quad + m_{D_s}^2) - \frac{3}{2}(\gamma+1)\frac{(m_{D_s}^2 - m_\eta^2)(m_K^2 - m_D^2)}{s} - m_D^2 \\ &\quad \left. -3m_K^2 + 2m_\pi^2\right], \\ V_{33} &= -\frac{1}{9f^2}\left[-\frac{3}{2}\gamma s + \gamma(m_\eta^2 + m_{D_s}^2) - \frac{3}{2}\gamma\frac{(m_{D_s}^2 - m_\eta^2)^2}{s}\right. \\ &\quad \left. +2(m_D^2 + 3m_K^2 - 2m_\pi^2)\right], \\ V_{12} &= V_{11} = V_{22}, \\ V_{23} &= V_{13}, \\ V_{ji} &= V_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ and $i \neq j$. And in the above equations, $\gamma = \left(\frac{m_L}{m_H}\right)^2$ with $m_L = 800$ MeV and $m_H = 2050$ MeV (see Ref. [28]), $f = 93$ MeV is the pion decay constant. Note that in Eq. (9) we have projected the potentials into S-wave.

Since the process depicted in Fig. 1 is a $0^- \to 1^-0^+$ transition, the angular momentum between the J/ψ and the quark pair $(c\bar{s})$ is $L = 1$ due to the total angular momentum conservation. So V_p should have the form of

$$V_p = \sqrt{3}Ap_{J/\psi}\cos\theta. \quad (10)$$

Thus, we can get the expression of $d\Gamma/dM_{inv}$

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dM_{inv}} = \frac{A^2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{4m_{B_c}^2}p_{J/\psi}^3\tilde{p}_{DK}\bar{\sum}\sum|\tilde{t}_{B_c^+\to J/\psi D^+K^0}|^2, \quad (11)$$

where M_{inv} is the invariant mass of the D^+K^0 system, and $\tilde{t}_{B_c^+\to J/\psi D^+K^0}$ is $t_{B_c^+\to J/\psi D^+K^0}/V_p$. In Eq. (11), the factor $\frac{1}{3}$ which comes from the integral of $\cos\theta^2$ cancels the $\sqrt{3}^2$ in the definition of V_p of Eq. (10). The value of A is chosen to normalize the invariant mass distribution and it will cancel in the ratios that we shall construct. In Eq. (11) $p_{J/\psi}$ is the momentum of the J/ψ in the global CM frame and $\tilde{p}_{DK}$ is the kaon momentum in the D^+K^0 rest frame.

It is interesting to see microscopically how a p-wave for J/ψ and the KD meson pair in relative s-wave can be produced in this process. For this we just recall that the W exchange involves the interaction term $\bar{q}_1\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)q_2\bar{q}_3\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)q_4$ [70, 71]. By looking at Fig. 2, the required combination is $\gamma^0\gamma_5$ for the bcW vertex, which gives a contribution of the type $\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{q}$, which can flip spin to produce J/ψ and also provides the needed p-wave. The vertex for csW should be γ^0, since sandwiched between the quark and antiquark provides again a vertex of the $\frac{\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p}_1}{2m_1} + \frac{\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p}_2}{2m_2}$ type ($\vec{p}_1$, $\vec{p}_2$ the momenta of the quark, antiquark). Then, upon hadronization of the extra $q\bar{q}$ we can get two mesons in relative s-wave. To see this, recall that the $q\bar{q}$ pair created with the vacuum quantum numbers is produced with spin $S = 1$ and $L = 1$ ($^3P_0$ configuration [72, 73]). The combination of these two p-wave vertices can then give rise to an s-wave of the pair of mesons.

A simpler way to see this is to recall the phenomenological coupling of a W to two pseudoscalars, given by $W_\mu\langle[\phi, \partial_\mu\phi]T_-\rangle$ in chiral theories [74, 75], with W, ϕ the fields of the W and the pseudoscalar mesons and T_- a matrix related to the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa elements. The vertex WPP' gives rise to the operator $\epsilon_\mu(p - p')^\mu$ with p, p' the momenta of the created pair of pseudoscalars, and we see

again that the $\mu = 0$ component of the virtual W gives rise to $p^0 - p'^0$ which carries no momentum and provides a production of the two mesons in relative s-wave, in the CM frame of these two mesons, when the masses of the particles are different, as is the case here (note, that the operator $\frac{\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p}_1}{2m_1} + \frac{\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p}_2}{2m_2}$ at the quark level also would vanish in the CM when the two particles have the same mass). This mechanism is the same as the one providing the s-wave in meson baryon scattering in the local hidden gauge approach, where a vector meson is exchanged between the meson and the baryon [76], but then one has $p^0 + p'^0$ and it never vanishes.

B. Coalescence production of the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ resonance

In the former subsection we have studied the production of DK in the final state. Here we study the production of the resonance $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ under the assumption that it is dynamically generated from the DK and ηD_s channels. Diagrammatically, the reaction proceeds as shown in Fig. 5.

The amplitude for the production of the resonance R (in this case the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} t(B_c^+ \to J/\psi R) &= V_p \sum_i h_i G_i g_i \Big|_{pole} \\ &= \sqrt{3} A p_{J/\psi} \cos\theta \sum_i h_i G_i g_i \Big|_{pole}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where i sums over K^+D^0, K^0D^+, ηD_s, and g_i is the coupling of the resonance to the channel i defined such that the scattering amplitude around the pole reads as

$$T_{ij} = \frac{g_i g_j}{z - z_R}, \quad (13)$$

with $i, j = D^+K^0, D^0K^+, D_s^+\eta$. The variables z and z_R are the value of the complex s and the resonance position, respectively. Once again we define $\tilde{t}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi R) = t(B_c^+ \to J/\psi R)/V_p$. Eqs. (12) and (5) are different, but under the assumption that the resonance is dynamically generated by the channels included in Eq. (5), the two expressions are related and are fixed, up to the common factor V_p. The width for the production of the resonance R, irrelevant of which decay channel it has, is given by

$$\Gamma(B_c^+ \to J/\psi R) = \frac{A^2}{8\pi} \frac{1}{m^2_{B_c^+}} \left|\tilde{t}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi D^*_{s0}(2317)^+)\right|^2 \; p^3_{J/\psi}\Big|_{pole}. \quad (14)$$

It is then interesting to study the ratio [77]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{\Gamma}}{dM_{inv}} &= M_R^2 \frac{(d\Gamma/dM_{inv})/p^3_{J/\psi}\tilde{p}_{DK}}{\Gamma(B_c^+ \to J/\psi R)/\; p^3_{J/\psi}\Big|_{pole}} \\ &= \frac{M_R^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{\left|\tilde{t}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi D^+K^0)\right|^2}{\left|\tilde{t}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi D^*_{s0}(2317)^+)\right|^2} \\ &= \frac{M_R^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{|h_{D^+K^0} + \sum h_i G_i t_i|^2}{|\sum h_i G_i g_i|^2|_{pole}}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where the factor M_R^2 is put in the formula for convenience in order to have a dimensionless quantity. In this ratio the common factor V_p (or A) cancels and we obtain a magnitude with no free parameters, tied to the nature of the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ as a dynamically generated resonance.



FIG. 5: Diagrammatic presentation of $B_c^+ \to J/\psi R$. One sums over all intermediate mesons m_i and M_i that generate the resonance (K^+D^0, K^0D^+ and ηD_s^+).

III. RESULTS

As it is mentioned in section II, the G_i function is calculated analytically by dimensional regularization. In this paper the parameter $\alpha(\mu)$ is fixed as -1.265 and μ as 1.5 GeV, in order to get the resonance $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ from the DK and ηD_s interaction. In Fig. 6, we show the squared amplitude of the $D^+K^0 \to D^+K^0$ scattering depending on the invariant mass of DK system, where the peak position appears at the mass of the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ resonance. As we can see, there is no width for the state, which we obtain as a bound state. The very small width of this state comes from the decay into the isospin forbidden πD_s channel, which we do not consider since it has a negligible role in the generation of the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ mass. We also calculate the couplings of the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ to the DK and the $D_s\eta$ channels, which can be extracted from the behavior of the T matrix near the pole (see Eq. (13). The values of the couplings that we get are $g_{D^+K^0} = g_{D^0K^+} = 7.4$ GeV, $g_{D_s^+\eta} = -6.0$ GeV. This corresponds to a coupling $-\sqrt{2} \times 7.4 = -10.46$ (GeV) to the DK $I = 0$ channel $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(K^+D^0 + K^0D^+)$. The value of -10.46 GeV and -6.0 GeV for the couplings of the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ to DK ($I = 0$) and ηD_s agree with those in [28] up to a global sign. Note that here we take $g_{D^+K^0}$ positive. We see then that the $D^*_{s0}(2317)^+$ resonance couples to the DK channel more strongly.

Using the values of $\alpha(\mu)$ and μ mentioned above, we can get the differential decay width for the reaction of $B_c^+ \to J/\psi D^+K^0$ (see Fig. 7). There the line shape of the differential decay width and the phase space have been normalized to unity over the range of the DK invariant mass in the figure, which have been done in the same way as that in Ref. [63]. The line shapes are similar to those in Fig. 4 of Ref. [63].



FIG. 6: $|T|^2$ for the DK scattering depending on the center of mass energy of the DK system.



FIG. 8: The plot of $\frac{d\tilde{\Gamma}}{dM_{inv}}$ defined in Eq. (15).



FIG. 7: Differential decay width for the reaction $B_c^+ \to J/\psi D^+ K^0$. The solid curve corresponds to $(\alpha(\mu), \mu) = (-1.265, 1.50\ \text{GeV})$. The dash dot curve is the phase space. Note that all the curves have been normalized in the range of M_{inv} shown in the figure.

In Fig. 8 we plot $\frac{d\tilde{\Gamma}}{dM_{inv}}$ of Eq. (15). We see a fall down of the distribution as a function of the K^+D^0 invariant mass. This is a clear indication of the presence of a resonance below threshold since we have divided the original invariant mass distribution by the phase space. Hence, essentially we are plotting $|t(B_c^+ \to J/\psi D^+ K^0)|^2$, which peaks at the mass of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)^+$ and we are seeing the tail of the resonance.

A. Consideration of possible $q\bar{q}$ components

At this point we would like to consider uncertainties tied to the possibility that the state $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ contains some $q\bar{q}$ component in addition to the KD and ηD_s molecular channels. This possibility was investigated in Ref. [43] when analyzing the lattice QCD spectra for KD and related channels, including $q\bar{q}$ components. The analysis to account for a possible $q\bar{q}$ component was done by adding a Castillejo-Dalitz-Dyson pole [78] to the potential. Hence, in the charge basis that we consider, we add to the potential of Eq. (9) an extra component

$$\delta V_{11} = \delta V_{12} = \delta V_{21} = \delta V_{22} = \delta V = \frac{\gamma^2}{M_{inv}^2 - M_{CDD}^2}, \quad (16)$$

where the equality of these terms guarantees that we have $I = 0$ and we have only considered the extra term in the important KD channels, as in [43]. In Eq. (16) γ is a constant and M_{CDD} would be associated to a bare mass of some excited $q\bar{q}$ component. With the potential $V + \delta V$ we reevaluate amplitudes and couplings. The analysis done in [43] gave as an output that the possible values of M_{CDD} were larger than $M_K + M_D + 300$ MeV. We choose $M_{CDD} = M_K + M_D + 300$ MeV, but we have checked that the results do not change if we have a larger M_{CDD} mass after the fitting is done. The procedure to determine the γ parameter is the following.

First we quote from Ref. [43] that the probability to have the KD component was, summing errors in quadrature, $P(KD) = (72 \pm 14)\%$. Hence we take three cases, corresponding to $P(KD) = 58\%, 72\%, 86\%$. For each of these cases we make a fit of the subtraction constant $\alpha(\mu)$ of Eq. (7) and γ to obtain the binding at 2317.8 MeV and $P(KD)$ equal to any of the former fractions. The $P(KD)$ probability is given by [79–81]

$$P(KD) = -\sum_{i=1,2} g_i^2 \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial s}\bigg|_{pole} \quad (17)$$

with $i = K^+D^0, K^0D^+$.

Next we take into account that the new component not only modifies couplings and amplitudes of KD but in the decay that we consider there can be a direct coupling to this component. This is depicted in Fig. 9 (a) for the coalescence process and in Fig. 9 (b) for the K^0D^+ production. We must add this contribution to the former one, but we have here two extra couplings which are unknown, the $B_c^+ J/\psi$ coupling to the $q\bar{q}$ component and the resonance coupling to this component. Let us write the product of the two in terms of an unknown constant C, as $V_p M_R^3 C$ for dimensional reasons. Then the amplitudes equivalent to Eq. (5) and (12) are now:

$$t(B_c^+ \to J/\psi R) = V_p \left(\sum_i h_i G_i g_i + C \frac{M_R^3}{M_R^2 - M_{CDD}^2} \right) \Bigg|_{pole} \quad (18)$$

$$t(B_c^+ \to J/\psi D^+ K^0) = V_p \left(1 + \sum_i h_i G_i g_i t_{i1} + C \frac{M_R^3}{M_R^2 - M_{CDD}^2} \times \frac{g_{D^+K^0}}{M_{inv}^2 - M_R^2} \right) \quad (19)$$

where M_R is the mass of the $D_{s0}^+(2317)$.

The parameter C is unknown, but with KD and ηD_s nearly exhausting the sum rule $-\sum_{i=1}^3 g_i^2 \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial s} \simeq 1$, we shall choose it in such a way that the C-term in Eq. (18) gives a weight of 0, 0.1 or 0.2 of the $\sum_i h_i G_i g_i$ term. With this the relative strength of this term on $|t|^2$, and hence in Γ, would be 0, 21% and 44% respectively. We think this is a wide margin given the small room left for such component in the sum rule. We should expect this contribution to be of the order of the uncertainty of 14% in $P(KD)$ that we have quoted before, but we take a wider margin.

When this is done we get the results that we show in Fig. 10. Compared to the former results in Fig. 8, we see small changes. For weight $C = 0$ in Fig. 10 (b) where $P = 0.72$ we get a reduction of $\frac{d\tilde{\Gamma}}{dM_{inv}}$ about 10% with respect to the former results. For the case that the relative weight of the new component is 0.1 in the amplitude we get results about 5% bigger than before. And for relative weight 0.2 we get an increase of about 20%. We also can see in Figs. 10 (a) and (c) for weights $P(KD) = 0.58$ and $P(KD) = 0.86$ that the results change only in about 5% with respect to those for $P(KD) = 0.72$. This is a margin of uncertainty that we can assume, but the main features discussed above remain.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have studied the B_c^+ decay into $J/\psi D^+ K^0$. The mechanism is: B_c^+ decays into J/ψ and the quark pair $c\bar{s}$ via weak interaction; then the quark pair $c\bar{s}$ hadronizes into D^+K^0, D^0K^+ or $D_s^+\eta$ components which can interact among themselves generating the $D_{s0}^*(2317)^+$ resonance. In the scheme of the chiral unitary approach, we are able to choose the proper parameters $\alpha(\mu)$ and μ appearing in the loop function by matching the pole position of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)^+$. If $\alpha(\mu) = -1.265$ and $\mu = 1.5$ GeV, the couplings of DK and $D_s\eta$ channels are $g_{D^+K^0} = g_{D^0K^+} = 7.4$ GeV and $g_{D_s^+\eta} = -6.0$ GeV, respectively. Later we have calculated the differential decay width of the reaction $B_c^+ \to J/\psi D^+ K^0$. One can appreciate that the shape of the distribution peaks closer to the DK threshold than the phase space, indicating the coupling of DK to a resonance below threshold (the $D_{s0}^*(2317)^+$ in this case). We also evaluated the rate of production of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)^+$ resonance and then constructed the ratio of $d\Gamma/dM_{inv}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi D^+ K^0)$ to the width for $D_{s0}^*(2317)^+$ production, where the unknown factor V_p of our theory cancels. The new normalized distribution obtained is then a prediction of the theory, only tied to the fact that the $D_{s0}^*(2317)^+$ is dynamically generated from the DK and ηD_s channels. We also evaluated the possible contribution of genuine $q\bar{q}$ components taking information from the lattice QCD results and found it to be small. As to the feasibility of the reaction we think this is at reach in present facilities. Indeed in the PDG [6] one finds half of the known decay channels of the B_c^+ going to a J/ψ, one has also decays into J/ψ and three pions, J/ψ plus two kaons and one pion, and also decays into $J/\psi D_s^+$ and $J/\psi D_s^{*+}$. The study done here, showing how one can learn about the nature of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)^+$ from the measurements proposed, should serve as an incentive to perform these experiments in the near future.

Acknowledgments

This work is partly supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Economia y Competitividad and European FEDER funds under the contract number FIS2011-28853-C02-01 and FIS2011-28853- C02-02, and the Generalitat Valenciana in the program Prometeo II-2014/068. We acknowledge the support of the European Community-Research Infrastructure Integrating Activity Study of Strongly Interacting Matter (acronym HadronPhysics3, Grant Agreement n. 283286) under the Seventh Framework Programme of EU.

[1] F. Abe *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 2432 (1998) [hep-ex/9805034].

[2] T. Aaltonen *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 182002 (2008) [arXiv:0712.1506 [hep-ex]].

[3] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 012001 (2008) [arXiv:0802.4258 [hep-ex]].



FIG. 9: Diagrams for coalescence (a) and K^0D^+ production (b) through coupling of the B_c^+J/ψ vertex to a possible $q\bar{q}$ component.



FIG. 10: The plot of $\frac{d\tilde{\Gamma}}{dM_{inv}}$ considering the contribution of Fig. 9. (a), (b) and (c) are corresponding to $P(KD) = 0.58$, 0.72 and 0.86 respectively.

[4] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 232001 (2012) [arXiv:1209.5634 [hep-ex]].

[5] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 11, 112012 (2013) [Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 1, 019901 (2014)] [arXiv:1304.4530 [hep-ex]].

[6] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).

[7] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 242001 (2003) [hep-ex/0304021].

[8] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **69**, 031101 (2004) [hep-ex/0310050].

[9] D. Besson *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **68**, 032002 (2003) [Phys. Rev. D **75**, 119908 (2007)] [hep-ex/0305100].

[10] P. Krokovny *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262002 (2003) [hep-ex/0308019].

[11] E. W. Vaandering [FOCUS Collaboration], hep-ex/0406044.

[12] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 189 (1985).

[13] S. Godfrey and R. Kokoski, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 1679 (1991).

[14] S. N. Gupta and J. M. Johnson, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 168 (1995) [hep-ph/9409432].

[15] J. Zeng, J. W. Van Orden and W. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 5229 (1995) [hep-ph/9412269].

[16] D. Ebert, V. O. Galkin and R. N. Faustov, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 5663 (1998) [Phys. Rev. D **59**, 019902 (1999)] [hep-ph/9712318].

[17] M. Di Pierro and E. Eichten, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 114004 (2001) [hep-ph/0104208].

[18] Y. S. Kalashnikova, A. V. Nefediev and Y. A. Simonov, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 014037 (2001) [hep-ph/0103274].

[19] D. Merten, R. Ricken, M. Koll, B. Metsch and H. Petry, Eur. Phys. J. A **13**, 477 (2002) [hep-ph/0104029].

[20] W. Lucha and F. F. Schoberl, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **18**, 2837 (2003) [hep-ph/0309341].

[21] P. Boyle [UKQCD Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **63**, 314 (1998) [hep-lat/9710036].

[22] G. S. Bali, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 071501 (2003) [hep-ph/0305209].

[23] A. Dougall *et al.* [UKQCD Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **569**, 41 (2003) [hep-lat/0307001].

[24] T. Barnes, F. E. Close and H. J. Lipkin, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 054006 (2003) [hep-ph/0305025].

[25] A. P. Szczepaniak, Phys. Lett. B **567**, 23 (2003) [hep-ph/0305060].

[26] E. E. Kolomeitsev and M. F. M. Lutz, Phys. Lett. B **582**, 39 (2004) [hep-ph/0307133].

[27] F. K. Guo, P. N. Shen, H. C. Chiang, R. G. Ping and B. S. Zou, Phys. Lett. B **641**, 278 (2006) [hep-ph/0603072].

[28] D. Gamermann, E. Oset, D. Strottman and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 074016 (2007) [hep-ph/0612179].

[29] F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart and U. G. Meissner, Eur. Phys. J. A **40**, 171 (2009) [arXiv:0901.1597 [hep-ph]].

[30] M. Cleven, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart and U. G. Meissner, Eur. Phys. J. A **47**, 19 (2011) [arXiv:1009.3804 [hep-ph]].

[31] M. Cleven, H. W. Griehammer, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart and U. G. Meiner, Eur. Phys. J. A **50**, no. 9, 149 (2014) [arXiv:1405.2242 [hep-ph]].

[32] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, S. Kovalenko and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 014003 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0892 [hep-ph]].

[33] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij and Y. L. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 014005 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0254 [hep-ph]].

[34] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 012003 (2003) [hep-ph/0305035].

[35] H. Y. Cheng and W. S. Hou, Phys. Lett. B **566**, 193 (2003) [hep-ph/0305038].

[36] K. Terasaki, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 011501 (2003) [hep-ph/0305213].

[37] L. Maiani, F. Piccinini, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 014028 (2005) [hep-ph/0412098].

[38] M. E. Bracco, A. Lozea, R. D. Matheus, F. S. Navarra and M. Nielsen, Phys. Lett. B **624**, 217 (2005) [hep-ph/0503137].

[39] V. Dmitrasinovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 162002 (2005).

[40] T. E. Browder, S. Pakvasa and A. A. Petrov, Phys. Lett. B **578**, 365 (2004) [hep-ph/0307054].

[41] D. Mohler, C. B. Lang, L. Leskovec, S. Prelovsek and R. M. Woloshyn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, no. 22, 222001 (2013) [arXiv:1308.3175 [hep-lat]].

[42] C. B. Lang, L. Leskovec, D. Mohler, S. Prelovsek and R. M. Woloshyn, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 3, 034510 (2014) [arXiv:1403.8103 [hep-lat]].

[43] A. Martinez Torres, E. Oset, S. Prelovsek and A. Ramos, JHEP **1505**, 153 (2015) [arXiv:1412.1706 [hep-lat]].

[44] L. Liu, K. Orginos, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart and U. G. Meissner, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 1, 014508 (2013) [arXiv:1208.4535 [hep-lat]].

[45] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **137**, B672 (1965).

[46] V. Baru, J. Haidenbauer, C. Hanhart, Y. Kalashnikova and A. E. Kudryavtsev, Phys. Lett. B **586**, 53 (2004) [hep-ph/0308129].

[47] N. Kaiser, P. B. Siegel and W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A **594**, 325 (1995) [nucl-th/9505043].

[48] E. Oset and A. Ramos, Nucl. Phys. A **635**, 99 (1998) [nucl-th/9711022].

[49] J. A. Oller and U. G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. B **500**, 263 (2001) [hep-ph/0011146].

[50] T. Hyodo, S. I. Nam, D. Jido and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. C **68**, 018201 (2003) [nucl-th/0212026].

[51] D. Jido, J. A. Oller, E. Oset, A. Ramos and U. G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. A **725**, 181 (2003) [nucl-th/0303062].

[52] C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves and L. L. Salcedo, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 034025 (2006) [hep-ph/0505233].

[53] R. Molina, D. Nicmorus and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 114018 (2008) [arXiv:0809.2233 [hep-ph]].

[54] L. S. Geng and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 074009 (2009) [arXiv:0812.1199 [hep-ph]].

[55] R. Molina, H. Nagahiro, A. Hosaka and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 014025 (2009) [arXiv:0903.3823 [hep-ph]].

[56] P. Gonzalez, E. Oset and J. Vijande, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 025209 (2009) [arXiv:0812.3368 [hep-ph]].

[57] S. Sarkar, B. X. Sun, E. Oset and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Eur. Phys. J. A **44**, 431 (2010) [arXiv:0902.3150 [hep-ph]].

[58] E. Oset and A. Ramos, Eur. Phys. J. A **44**, 445 (2010) [arXiv:0905.0973 [hep-ph]].

[59] W. H. Liang and E. Oset, Phys. Lett. B **737**, 70 (2014) [arXiv:1406.7228 [hep-ph]].

[60] M. Bayar, W. H. Liang and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 11, 114004 (2014) [arXiv:1408.6920 [hep-ph]].

[61] J. J. Xie and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 9, 094006 (2014) [arXiv:1409.1341 [hep-ph]].

[62] W. H. Liang, J. J. Xie and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 3, 034008 (2015) [arXiv:1501.00088 [hep-ph]].

[63] M. Albaladejo, M. Nielsen and E. Oset, Phys. Lett. B **746**, 305 (2015) [arXiv:1501.03455 [hep-ph]].

[64] L. L. Chau, Phys. Rept. **95**, 1 (1983).

[65] H. Y. Cheng and C. W. Chiang, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 074031 (2010) [arXiv:1002.2466 [hep-ph]].

[66] X. W. Kang, B. Kubis, C. Hanhart and U. G. Meiner, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 053015 [arXiv:1312.1193 [hep-ph]].

[67] T. Sekihara and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) 5, 054038 [arXiv:1507.02026 [hep-ph]].

[68] A. Bramon, A. Grau and G. Pancheri, Phys. Lett. B **283**, 416 (1992).

[69] J. A. Oller and E. Oset, Nucl. Phys. A **620**, 438 (1997) [Nucl. Phys. A **652**, 407 (1999)] [hep-ph/9702314].

[70] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras and M. E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 1125 (1996) doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.68.1125 [hep-ph/9512380].

[71] B. El-Bennich, A. Furman, R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau and B. Moussallam, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 094005 (2009) [Phys. Rev. D **83**, 039903 (2011)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.039903, 10.1103/PhysRevD.79.094005 [arXiv:0902.3645 [hep-ph]].

[72] L. Micu, Nucl. Phys. B **10** (1969) 521. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(69)90039-X

[73] F. E. Close, Academic Press/london 1979, 481p

[74] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Annals Phys. **158**, 142 (1984). doi:10.1016/0003-4916(84)90242-2

[75] S. Scherer, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **27**, 277 (2003) [hep-ph/0210398].

[76] R. Bansal, S. Gautam, R. K. Puri and J. Aichelin, Eur. Phys. J. A **51**, no. 1, 2 (2015). doi:10.1140/epja/i2015-15002-7

[77] W. H. Liang, J. J. Xie, E. Oset, R. Molina and M. Dring, Eur. Phys. J. A **51**, no. 5, 58 (2015) [arXiv:1502.02932 [hep-ph]].

[78] L. Castillejo, R. H. Dalitz and F. J. Dyson, Phys. Rev. **101** (1956) 453. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.101.453

[79] D. Gamermann, J. Nieves, E. Oset and E. Ruiz Arriola, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 014029 (2010) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.014029 [arXiv:0911.4407 [hep-ph]].

[80] T. Hyodo, D. Jido and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. C **78**, 025203 (2008) doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.78.025203 [arXiv:0803.2550 [nucl-th]].

[81] T. Hyodo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **28**, 1330045 (2013) doi:10.1142/S0217751X13300457 [arXiv:1310.1176 [hep-ph]].